



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,502	09/19/2005	Jean-Pierre Catinat	271729US0PCT	5037
22850	7590	10/29/2009		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER MABRY, JOHN	
			ART UNIT 1625	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 10/29/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

---

Ex parte JEAN-PIERRE CATINAT  
and MICHAEL STREBELLE

---

Application No. 10/534,502  
Technology Center: 1600

---

Mailed: October 29, 2009

---

Before GLORIA HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*  
HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*.

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on October 26, 2009. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, GROUNDS OF REJECTION

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer mailed July 24, 2009 under the heading "Grounds of Rejection" is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims set forth in the last Office action of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Examiner's Answer must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified. See (MPEP) § 1207.02. Specifically, the Examiner's Answer mailed July 24, 2009 did not identify Claims 21-26. Appropriate correction is required for clarification of the record.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is being electronically returned to the Examiner:

- 1) vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed July 24, 2009, and mail a Supplemental Examiner's Answer with the correct information for the claims under the Grounds of Rejection; and
- 2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 10/534,502

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

/GJH/

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314